研究業績 英文表記

和文		
表題	在宅医療・介護連携の現状と展望: 堺市における関係機関 9 領域に対する質問紙調査	
著者名	高木さひろ*, 黒田研二*, 今津弘子**, 花家薫**, 安斉智子**, 増田麻砂予**, 岡原和弘3*, 小田真3*	
所属	*関西大学、**堺市、3*堺市医師会	
英文		
Title	The current status and future prospects of collaboration between medical and social care: A questionnaire survey on facilities of nine related fields in Sakai city	
Author	Sahiro TAKAGI*, Kenji KURODA*, Hiroko IMAZU**, Kaoru HANAIE**, Tomoko ANZAI**, Masayo MASUDA**, Kazuhiro OKAHARA3*, and Makoto ODA3*	
Affiliation	*Kansai University, **Sakai City Municipal Office, 3*Skai City Medical Association	
Abstract	With Japan's aging population, the need for medical and social care in communities is expected to increase rapidly. The responsibility to develop an integrated community care system (in which collaboration between medical and social care organisations is essential) belongs to municipalities that cooperate with medical associations. Cooperating municipalities are required to accelerate community management for this purpose. The intention of this study is to clarify the current status of collaboration between medical and social care organisations in Sakai City, Japan. As well, we discuss macro-level problems involving the community as a whole by elucidating the micro-level status of the area's home medical and nursing care services. For that purpose, a questionnaire survey was conducted in October 2016. The survey involved the cooperation of facilities that provide medical and social care services in nine different fields. Comparative studies between these fields were performed using cross tabulation analyses. The results of this study indicate that more than seventy percent of responders in six of the nine fields positively evaluated the level of responders in six of the nine fields positively evaluated the level of responders in section of hospitals, doctors working in hospitals, home-visiting nurse stations, long-term care facilities, care management facilities, and community comprehensive support centres. However, dental clinics, pharmacies, and doctors in clinics did not prioritize collaboration with social care services. A common factor in each of these three fields that contributed to poor collaboration was the fact that members were not asked to personally meet with the social care services community. Busy work schedules for professions in these fields also contributed to difficulties in organising meetings. In addition, a poor understanding of the different roles played by members of each profession prevented collaboration. Alternative methods of collaboration should be established between medical fie	
keyword	collaboration between medical and social care, integrated community care system, home care, questionnaire survey, community management	